

## Notice of Assessment Task HSC Physics Task 2 – Depth Study

Date of initial notification:	Date of submission of task:	
Tuesday 20 February 2024	Thursday 14 March 2024	
Week 4, Term 1	Week 7, Term 1	
,	· · ·	
Teacher:	Task Number:	
Miss Nunes	2	
Time Allowed:	Weighting of task:	
4 Weeks	30%	
Course Component/Focus area/topic/module:		
Module 5 – Advanced Mechanics		
Task Description		
In this <u>Depth Study</u> you will be working independently	y to investigate the following inquiry question:	
Why do objects	move in circles?	
You are to analyse the physics involved with TWO ride	es at Luna Park. submit a scientific report and	
respond to HSC style questions in an in-class compon	ent.	
This will involve two parts:		
ΡΔΒΤ Δ		
Possarching the construction of the ride usin	a socondary sources	
Taking data measurements that allow you to	s secondary sources.	
- Taking data measurements that allow you to	calculate various variables.	
<ul> <li>Participating in the ride to observe the effect.</li> </ul>	s on your body and align them to your quantitative	
analysis.		
PART B:		
<ul> <li>Respond to two HSC style questions relevant</li> </ul>	to the Module 5 course material.	
You will be assessed on:		
- The modification of the inquiry question into	a suitable hypothesis.	
- The location of suitable secondary resources,	analysing the physics principles involved in the rides	
of your choice.		
<ul> <li>Producing a methodology to test the hypotheral</li> </ul>	esis.	
- Demonstration of understanding of the Physi	cs involved	
- The communication of your findings which in	clude a discussion on the validity and reliability of	
- The communication of your midings which in	cide a discussion on the valuity and reliability of	
Your ability to respond to USC questions		
- Your ability to respond to HSC questions.		
Task Outline		
	Luna Park and narticinate in various rides	
Students complete and submit Lupa Dark we	kbook	
- Students complete a scientific report on two		
- Students complete a scientific report on two	chosen hues.	
- Students complete two HSC style questions.		
Instructions		
<ul> <li>Ensure your name, class, and teacher is clearly</li> </ul>	y labelled.	
• The Scientific Report is to be submitted on Ge	pogle Classroom before 2:50 PM on the day of	
submission. The HSC questions are to be subr	nitted in the allocated lesson.	
• All work submitted must be original and com	pleted individually.	
(NOTE: Any work deemed to be plagiarised w	ill be treated as a non-serious attempt and dealt an	
appropriate consequence in accordance with	the school and faculty policy)	

## Outcomes/Competencies to be assessed in this task:

PHY12-1 – develops and evaluates questions and hypotheses for scientific investigation.

**PHY12-4** – selects and processes appropriate qualitative and qualitative data and information using a range of appropriate media.

PHY12-5 – designs and evaluates investigations in order to obtain primary and secondary data and information.

**PHY12-7** – communicates scientific understanding using suitable language and terminology for a specific audience or purpose.

**PHY12-12** – describes and analyses qualitatively and quantitatively circular motion and motion in a gravitational field, in particular, the projectile motion of particles.

Feedback: How will I receive feedback on this task?

🛛 Written

🛛 Whole class

## Year 12 Physics – Depth Study Marking Guidelines

Outcomes: Investigative Processes (PHY12-1) Processing and Analysing Data (PHY12-4, PHY12-7) Communication (PHY12-7) Knowledge and Understanding (PHY12-12)

	Limited	Basic	Sound	High	Outstanding
Abstract	Summary does not reflect Depth Study	Summary reflects aspects of the Depth Study	<i>Summary that reflects most aspects of the Depth Study</i>	Summary of the Depth Study with reference to the inquiry question, methodology, results, and conclusion	clear and succinct summary of the Depth Study with reference to the inquiry question, methodology, results and conclusion
	0 marks	1 mark	2 marks	3 marks	4 marks
Introduction	Formulates a hypothesis with limited understanding of the inquiry question Demonstrates limited knowledge and understanding of mechanics	Formulates a hypothesis linked to the inquiry question with teacher assistance. Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of mechanics and how they relate to a chosen ride with some attempt to use key terminology and no use of formulae.	Formulates hypothesis linked to the inquiry question. Demonstrates sound knowledge and understanding of mechanics and how they relate to the chosen rides using some key terminology and formulae. Demonstrates sound knowledge of the rides examined using some key terminology and formulae.	Formulates an appropriate hypothesis linked to the inquiry question. Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of mechanics and how they relate to the chosen rides using key terminology and formulae. Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of the rides examined and related this to their experiences using key terminology and formulae.	Formulates an appropriate hypothesis that is evidence based and clearly links to the inquiry question. Demonstrates extensive knowledge and understanding of mechanics and how they relate to the chosen rides using key terminology, formulae, and calculations. Demonstrates extensive knowledge and understanding of the rides examined and relates this to their experiences using key terminology, relevant formulae, and calculations
	1 mark	2 – 3 marks	4 marks	5 marks	6 marks

	Does not provide a risk	Minimal identification of risks	Identifies risks to select mostly	Assesses risks to select	Thoroughly assesses risks to
	assessment and requires teacher direction when using equipment.	with some appropriate materials	appropriate materials and plan a safe investigation.	appropriate materials and plan a safe investigation.	select appropriate materials and plan a safe investigation.
aterials & Method	Experimental procedure developed lacks experimental controls. Methodology does not allow for the collection of reliable data does not select appropriate	Experimental procedure developed contains some experimental controls needs teacher assistance to recognise. methodology requires repetition to collect reliable data seeks teacher assistance to select	Experimental procedure developed contains most necessary experimental controls. Develops a methodology that includes minimal repetition.	Implements appropriate experimental controls. Develops a methodology that includes some repetition. Selects technology to improve	Implements appropriate experimental controls to ensure a valid procedure. Develops a methodology that allows for the reliable collection of data.
Š	technologies to ensure accurate data collection.	technologies.	Selects basic forms of technology with minimal improved accuracy.	the precision of data collected.	Appropriate selection of technologies to ensure precision.
	1 – 2 marks	3 – 4 marks	5 – 6 marks	7 – 8 marks	9 – 10 marks
	1 – 2 marks Unable to distinguish between relevant and non-relevant	3 – 4 marks Selects quantitative data.	5 – 6 marks Selects mostly relevant quantitative data.	7 – 8 marks Selects relevant quantitative data.	9 – 10 marks Selects relevant quantitative data.
	1 – 2 marks Unable to distinguish between relevant and non-relevant quantitative data.	3 – 4 marks Selects quantitative data. Data is represented in a logical format.	5 – 6 marks Selects mostly relevant quantitative data. Represents most quantitative	7 – 8 marks Selects relevant quantitative data. Represents quantitative data in	9 – 10 marks Selects relevant quantitative data. Represents quantitative data in
sults	1 – 2 marks Unable to distinguish between relevant and non-relevant quantitative data. Data is disorganised and not represented in appropriate	3 – 4 marks Selects quantitative data. Data is represented in a logical format.	5 – 6 marks Selects mostly relevant quantitative data. Represents most quantitative data in a range of appropriate formats.	7 – 8 marks Selects relevant quantitative data. Represents quantitative data in a range of appropriate formats.	9 – 10 marks Selects relevant quantitative data. Represents quantitative data in a range of appropriate formats using digital technologies.
Results	1 – 2 marks Unable to distinguish between relevant and non-relevant quantitative data. Data is disorganised and not represented in appropriate formats.	3 – 4 marks Selects quantitative data. Data is represented in a logical format.	5 – 6 marks Selects mostly relevant quantitative data. Represents most quantitative data in a range of appropriate formats. Applies some quantitative processes	7 – 8 marks Selects relevant quantitative data. Represents quantitative data in a range of appropriate formats. Applies quantitative process where appropriate	9 – 10 marks Selects relevant quantitative data. Represents quantitative data in a range of appropriate formats using digital technologies. Applies quantitative process where appropriate.

Discussion	Presents data with limited information. Demonstrate minimal critical thinking	<ul> <li>Attempts to describe primary data;</li> <li>Identifies some trends, patterns, and relationships.</li> <li>Identifies some error, uncertainty, and limitations in data.</li> <li>Outlines the relevance, accuracy, validity, and reliability of data.</li> <li>Suggests some improvements to investigations</li> <li>Attempts to solve problems</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Some analysis of primary data;</li> <li>Describes most trends, patterns, and relationships.</li> <li>Discusses most errors, uncertainty, and limitations in data.</li> <li>Discusses the relevance, accuracy, validity, and reliability of data suggests improvements to investigations with some justification.</li> <li>Demonstrates some critical thinking to solve problems.</li> <li>Some attempt to link data with secondary sourced data</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Analyses and evaluates primary data;</li> <li>Explains trends, patterns, and relationships in data.</li> <li>Discusses error, uncertainty, and limitations in data.</li> <li>Discusses the relevance, accuracy, validity, and reliability of data.</li> <li>Suggests and justifies most improvements to investigations.</li> <li>Solves problems using critical thinking skills sound linkage of data with secondary sourced data</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Thoroughly analyses and evaluates primary data;</li> <li>Derives and explains trends, patterns, and relationships in data.</li> <li>Assesses error, uncertainty, and limitations in data.</li> <li>Assesses the relevance, accuracy, validity, and reliability of data.</li> <li>Suggests and justifies improvements to investigations.</li> <li>Solves problems using critical thinking skills and scientific processes thorough linkage of data with secondary sourced data</li> </ul>
Conclusion	0 – 2 marks Conclusion does not reflect the investigation and does not link to hypothesis.	3 – 5 marks Conclusion reflects aspects of the investigation and makes attempt to reference hypothesis	6 – 8 marks Constructs a conclusion that is based on some evidence and references the hypothesis.	9 – 10 marks Constructs an evidence-based conclusion by analysing scientific relationships, describing general trends, and making direct reference to the hypothesis.	11 – 12 marks Constructs evidence-based conclusions by analysing and evaluating complex scientific interrelationships, with clear description of trends, and direct reference to the hypothesis.
	0 marks	1 mark	2 marks	3 marks	4 marks

- If you are absent on the day that the task is due, you MUST see your teacher the next day (not your next lesson) that you are present at school to show your medical certificate or produce a misadventure form (refer to your Assessment Booklet for a copy of the form).
- Exemptions and extensions for any other reason will only be determined at the discretion of the Head Teacher, and only in extenuating circumstances. You must advise the Head Teacher as soon as possible if you know you are unable to submit the task on the due date.
- All appeals must be lodged within 48hrs of receipt of the task. Students who may consider an appeal are not permitted to take their task home. The original task cannot be altered in any way prior to the appeal process. See Assessment booklet for details.

References & Presentation	Presents a report that lacks any structure. Communicates using basic language. Provides a reference list. Selects secondary resources.	Presents a report that follows some guidelines. Uses basic language with some limited scientific terminology and information. Includes some scientific notations and nomenclature. Provides a reference list attempting to use the appropriate reference style. Selects some appropriate secondary sources	Presents a report that mostly follows the guidelines provides. Uses language that is mostly clear and relevant with some accurate scientific terminology and information. Includes mostly appropriate scientific notations and nomenclature. Provides an accurate reference list using the Harvard Referencing Style Selects relevant and reliable secondary sources	Presents a well-organised report that follows the guidelines provided. Communicates scientific understanding using language that is mostly clear with accurate and relevant scientific terminology and information. Includes mostly appropriate scientific notations and nomenclature. Provides an accurate reference list using the Harvard Referencing Style Selects a variety of relevant, up to date and reliable secondary sources.	Presents a logical and conesive report that follows the guidelines provided. Communicates scientific understanding effectively using language that is clear and succinct including accurate relevant scientific terminology and information. Selects appropriate scientific notations and nomenclature to communicate scientific concepts related to equilibrium. Provides an accurate reference list using the Harvard Referencing Style Selects a variety of relevant, up to date and reliable secondary
					sources.
	1 mark	2 marks	3 – 4 marks	5 marks	sources. 6 marks
	1 mark	2 marks	3 – 4 marks Mark	5 marks Percentage	sources. 6 marks Rank